Snell & Wilmer LAW OFFICES 3883 Howard Howard Newspa 89169 Las Vegas, Newsda 89169	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Joshua D. Cools Nevada Bar No. 11941 SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. 3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, NV 89169 Telephone: (702) 784-5200 Facsimile: (702) 784-5252 Email: jcools@swlaw.com Attorneys for Defendant Ford Motor Company UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
	10	RONALD R. JARAGOSKY, a single man,	Case No. 2:17-CV-02089-RFB-GWF
	11	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
	12 13	VS.	EXTEND DISCOVERY DEADLINE DATES (SECOND REQUEST)
	14	FORD MOTOR COMPANY, a Delaware corporation; and JOHN DOE ENTITIES I-X, inclusive,	
	15	Defendants.	
	16	Detendants.	
	17	Plaintiff Ronald R. Jaragosky and Defendant Ford Motor Company hereby stipulate and	
	18	agree to continue discovery in this matter by approximately thirty (30) days.	
	19	I.	
	20	BACKGROUND	
	21	This is a complicated product liability action arising from a motor vehicle collision	
	22	involving a 2001 Ford Explorer and a 2012 Chrysler van. On December 20, 2015, Plaintiff	
	23	Ronald Jaragosky was riding in the front passenger seat of the 2001 Ford Explorer. The Explorer	
	24	was driven by non-party Judy Ann Jiworsky northbound on SR-160 in Pahrump, Nevada. As the	
	25	Explorer approached the intersection of SR-160 and Matthew Lane, the 2012 Chrysler van turned	
	26	left in front of the Explorer. The right front of the Explorer collided with right front of the	
	27	Chrysler van. Mr. Jaragosky sustained significant injuries in the crash.	
	28	///	

On August 1, 2017 Plaintiff filed suit against Ford. Plaintiff alleges claims of negligence and strict product liability and seeks punitive damages. Plaintiff alleges that the 2001 Ford Explorer failed to adequately protect Plaintiff when the airbags did not deploy. Ford denies that the 2001 Ford Explorer was defective or that they are liable for Plaintiff's injuries and damages.

II.

REASON FOR DISCOVERY REQUEST

The parties have diligently pursued discovery in this case.¹ The parties are currently negotiating the protocol for removing and downloading the restraints control module contained in the subject Ford Explorer. The module records certain fault codes and other information that may be relevant to the subject vehicle and subject crash. The module was manufactured by a third party, Veoneer f/k/a Autoliv. Veoneer is the only party able to download the module's data, using their proprietary software. Plaintiff's counsel has expressed concern about the ability to verify the accuracy of the module's download, which has delayed the parties from scheduling the removal and download. The parties are working through those issues now. But this has delayed some information that both parties' experts need for their expert reports.

For this reason, the parties agree that it will be best to extend all discovery deadlines by 30 days to allow for these issues and, potentially, the removal of the restraints control module prior to expert discovery in this case. The parties represent that good cause exists for this extension. Further, though the request to move the Plaintiff's expert disclosure deadline is within the 21 day period set by LR 26-4, the parties believe that this constitutes excusable neglect as the issues surrounding the removal and download of the restraint control module pertain to both parties' experts and it is fair for these issues to be resolved before Plaintiff discloses his experts' opinions.

III.

DISCOVERY STATUS

The following discovery has been completed:

• October 10, 2017 - Plaintiff's Initial Disclosure Statement

¹ As previously reported to the Court, the parties had some initial difficulty obtaining the most recent medical records for Plaintiff from the California facilities where Plaintiff is currently residing, but those issues are now resolved.

28

2.

3.

Plaintiff will be identifying experts on both liability and damages;

Plaintiff may propound additional written discovery as needed;

///

28

1

VI.

CONCLUSION

Good cause is exists to extend the discovery deadlines as the parties have worked diligently towards completing fact discovery prior to expert disclosure. Therefore, the parties respectfully ask that this Court grant their request to adjust the case management deadlines as set forth herein.

DATED this 4th day of June, 2018.

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

By: /s/ Joshua D. Cools
Joshua D. Cools
Nevada Bar No. 11941
3883 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ste. 1100
Las Vegas, NV 89169

Attorneys for Defendant Ford Motor Company DATED this 4th day of June, 2018.

LAW OFFICES OF LYNN SHUMWAY

By: /s/ G. Lynn Shumway
G. Lynn Shumway
Arizona Bar No. 011714
4647 N. 32nd Street, Suite 230
Phoenix, AZ 85018

Cory M. Jones Nevada Bar No. 5028 JONES WILSON, LLP 1522 W. Warm Springs Road Henderson, NV 89014

Brent Ghelfi (*pro hac vice* pending) Arizona Bar No. 011491 GHELFI LAW GROUP, PLLC 4742 North 24th Street, Suite 300 Phoenix, AZ 85016

Attorneys for Plaintiff Ronald R. Jaragosky

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19 20

21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

/s/ Joshua D. Cools

Prepared and Submitted by: SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

Joshua D. Cools Nevada Bar No. 11941

3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, NV 89169

10. File the interim status report:

13. Rebuttal expert disclosure:

14. Discovery cut off:

15. Dispositive motions:

16. Joint pretrial order:

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 8th day of June, 2018.

11. Plaintiff's initial expert disclosure:

12. Defendant's initial expert disclosure:

Attorneys for Defendant Ford Motor Company

4824-4803-6455.1